UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/563,972 | 01/06/2006 | Hee-Jong Shin | Q92242 7325 | |
| 23373 SUGHRUE MI | 7590 04/04/200 ON. PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 2100 PENNSY | LVANIA AVENUE, N | WESTERBERG, NISSA M | | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI | N, DC 20037 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Commence | | Applicat | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| | | 10/563,9 | 972 | SHIN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | er | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Nissa M. | Westerberg | 1618 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply | tion appears on th | ne cover sheet with the o | correspondence ad | ddress | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ap | THIS COMMUNICATION INVENTE, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from poplication to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| • | Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice | ☐ This action is allowance excep | non-final. ot for formal matters, pro | | e merits is | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i | Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the E | withdrawn from con and/or election xaminer. | requirement. | Evaminer | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed January 15, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata et al. (US Patent 6,346,537). This rejection is MAINTAINED for the reasons of record set forth in the Office Action mailed October 16, 2007 and below.

Applicants have amended claim 2 the language from "comprising" to a solid dispersion "consisting essentially of" the active ingredient tacrolimus and a solid carrier wherein the solid carrier is at least one of the surfactants enumerated in the claim.

Applicant argues that the solid composition of Hata et al., which requires the active

substance and a surfactant and a solid carrier, no longer falls within the scope of the claim. Also, Applicant states that the results presented in the examples and table of the present invention show significantly improved dissolution rates and that omission of an element while the function is retained is an indicia of nonobviousness.

The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. In re Herz, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original). For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising." See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase 'consisting essentially of' for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it regarded as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). If an applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. In re-De Lajarte, 337 F.2d 870, 143 USPQ 256 (CCPA 1964). See also Ex parte Hoffman, 12 USPQ2d 1061, 1063-64 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989). MPEP 2111.03

Applicants arguments are not found to be persuasive. The examples and results set forth in the specification do not indicate that the omission of the solid carrier results

Application/Control Number: 10/563,972 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

in an improved composition in comparison to the compositions taught by Hata et al. The data presented in tables 1 and 2 is for capsules or tablets that contain tacrolimus, various surfactants (depending on the example), anhydrous lactose, microcrystalline cellulose (tablets only), croscarmellose sodium and magnesium stearate. The stating material used to make the dosage form does consist only of tacrolimus and the surfactant (comparative examples and examples) but the products of the preparation examples 1 and 2 contain additional ingredients.

It is noted that the material prepared in comparative example 1 and example 1 are made in the exact same manner with a sucrose fatty acid ester with an HLB value of 7. The dissolution rates when these dispersions are used in tablets and capsules are dramatically different, particularly at the early time points.

The data shown by Applicant does not meet the burden to demonstrate the addition of a solid carrier such as the saccharides or polymers exemplified by Hata et al. (col 10, ln 17 – 44) materially affects the basic and novel characteristics of applicant's invention. Therefore, the "consisting essential of" phrase will be interpreted as "comprising" and the obviousness rejection of claim 2 over Hata et al. is MAINTAINED.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,972 Page 6

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

NMW